

WG14 Proposed new work item status
WG14 Document: N922

Here are the current results from the countries that have gone to there local assemblage for guidance

I have also asked the WG21 convener, if WG21 would consider cutting out the I/O Hardware Interface Specifications for the current WG21 Type III Technical Report. Making the I/O Hardware interface specifications a Type II Technical Report that WG14 could then make reference to in the Embedded C Technical Report. Along the lines of the Canadian proposal. WG21 is not willing to separate the I/O Hardware Interface Specifications from the current WG21 Type III Technical Report.

Country	I/O	S/P
Canada	Yes ¹	No
Denmark	Yes	Yes
France	N/A	N/A
Ireland	No	Yes ²
Japan	No	No
Netherlands	Yes	Yes
Norway	Yes	Yes
UK	No	Yes
US	No	Yes ³

¹ Wants Committee to work with WG21, but does not want WG14 to produce wording independent of WG21. Yes vote will turn to NO, if the project is not jointly done or WG14 can not point at the WG21 work.

² With a requirement that it also specifies the behavior of `volatile` entitles in expression evaluation.

³ This could change if J11 took a vote of the full membership.